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#### Abstract

We give a survey on the main result of [9] where all homogeneous Levi degenerate CR-manifolds in dimension 5 have been classified up to local CR-equivalence. Furthermore, we discuss the only so far known examples of 3and 4-nondegenerate locally homogeneous hypersurfaces by explicit equations and in greater detail than in [9].


## 1. Introduction and Preliminaries

At the beginning of every course on Complex Analysis the CauchyRiemann differential equations appear: For every domain $U \subset \mathbb{C}$ and every smooth function $f=u+i v: U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ complex differentiability holds if and only if at every point of $U$ the real Jacobian $\partial(u, v) / \partial(x, y)$ in $\mathbb{R}^{2 \times 2}$ is of the form $\left(\begin{array}{c}a \\ -b\end{array} a_{a}^{b}\right)$, or equivalently, induces a complex linear endomorphism of $\mathbb{C} \approx \mathbb{R}^{2}$. More generally, for every domain $U \subset \mathbb{C}^{n}$ a smooth mapping $f: U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{m}$ is holomorphic (i.e. locally representable by a convergent power series) if and only if at every $a \in U$ the real Jacobian in $\mathbb{R}^{2 m \times 2 n}$ induces a complex linear operator from $\mathbb{C}^{n} \approx \mathbb{R}^{2 n}$ to $\mathbb{C}^{m} \approx \mathbb{R}^{2 m}$.

Now consider instead of open subsets in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ arbitrary connected smooth real submanifolds $M \subset \mathbb{C}^{n}$. At every $a \in M$ then the tangent space to $M$ is an $\mathbb{R}$-linear subspace $T_{a} M \subset \mathbb{C}^{n}$ and for every smooth $f: M \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{m}$ the differential at $a$ is an $\mathbb{R}$-linear map $d f_{a}: T_{a} M \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{m}$. It is obvious that a necessary condition for $f$ being locally the restriction of a holomorphic $\mathbb{C}^{m}$-valued map, defined in an open neighbourhood of $a \in$

[^0]$M$ with respect to $\mathbb{C}^{n}$, is that the restriction of $d f_{a}$ to the holomorphic tangent space
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{a} M:=T_{a} M \cap i T_{a} M \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

(that is the largest complex linear subspace of $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ contained in the real tangent space $T_{a} M$ ) is complex linear. $M$ is called a $C R$-submanifold of $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ if the complex dimension of $H_{a} M$ does not depend on $a \in M$. This dimension is called the CR-dimension, while the real dimension of $T_{a} M / H_{a} M$ is called the CR-codimension of $M$. Then the collection of all $H_{a} M$ gives the holomorphic subbundle $H M$ of the tangent bundle $T M$, and multiplication with the imaginary unit $i$ defines a bundle endomorphism $J$ of $H M$ with $J^{2}=-\mathrm{id}$. The smooth sections in $T M$, the smooth vector fields on $M$, form a real Lie algebra and $M$ satisfies the integrability condition, that is, for all smooth sections $\xi, \eta$ in the subbundle $H M$ also $[J \xi, \eta]+[\xi, J \eta]$ is a section in $H M$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
[J \xi, J \eta]-[\xi, \eta]=J([J \xi, \eta]+[\xi, J \eta]) . \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The abstract version of a Cauchy-Riemann manifold that we intend to use here is as follows: A smooth CR-manifold is a triple ( $M, H M, J$ ), where $M$ is a connected smooth manifold, $H M$ is a smooth subbundle of the tangent bundle $T M$ and $J$ is a smooth bundle endomorphism of $H M$ such that $J^{2}=-$ id and the integrability condition (1.2) holds. $J$ defines a complex vector space structure on every holomorphic tangent space $H_{a} M$. Instead of $(M, H M, J)$ we simply write $M$ if the corresponding $H M$ and $J$ are clear. The smooth CR-manifolds form a category in a natural way: A smooth mapping $\varphi: M \rightarrow M^{\prime}$ between CR-manifolds is a CR-mapping, if for every $a \in M$ and $a^{\prime}:=\varphi(a)$ the differential $d \varphi_{a}: T_{a} M \rightarrow T_{a^{\prime}} M^{\prime}$ maps the corresponding holomorphic tangent space $H_{a} M$ complex linearly to $H_{a^{\prime}} M^{\prime}$.

An important local invariant at every $a$ of a smooth CR-manifold $M$ is the (vector-valued) Levi from. We do not need here the full Levi form, only its kernel $K_{a} M \subset H_{a} M$. In case $M=\{z \in U: \rho(z)=0\}$ for a domain $U \subset \mathbb{C}^{n}$ and a smooth submersion $\rho: U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d}$ one way of defining the kernel is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& K_{a} M:=\left\{v \in H_{a} M: \sum_{j, k=1}^{n} v_{j} \bar{w}_{k} \frac{\partial^{2} \rho(a)}{\partial z_{j} \partial \bar{z}_{k}}=0\right. \in \mathbb{C}^{d} \\
&\text { for all } \left.w \in H_{a} M\right\},
\end{aligned}
$$

which does not depend on the choice of the submersion $\rho$. In [3], compare also [16], a complete set of invariants has been given in the real-analytic
setting that characterizes $M$ near $a$ up to CR-isomorphy provided that $M$ is Levi nondegenerate at $a$ (that is $K M=0$ ) and in addition is of hypersurface type (that is, $M$ has CR-codimension 1).

For certain CR-manifolds $M$ we define by induction on $k \in \mathbb{N}$ higher order Levi kernels $K_{a}^{k} M \subset H_{a} M$ and say that $M$ has constant degeneracy of order $k$ if the $K_{a}^{k} M, a \in M$, form a subbundle $K^{k} M$ of $H M$ : To start the induction just put

$$
K_{a}^{0} M:=H_{a} M \quad \text { and } \quad K_{a}^{-1} M:=T_{a} M \otimes \mathbb{C} / N_{a}
$$

with $N_{a}:=\left\{x \otimes 1-J x \otimes i: x \in H_{a} M\right\}$. Since $N_{a}$ is a complex linear subspace, $K_{a}^{-1} M$ inherits a complex structure that we also denote by $J$. Embedding $T_{a} M$ into $K_{a}^{-1} M$ via $x \mapsto x \otimes 1 \bmod N_{a}$, the space $K_{a}^{-1} M$ may be thought of as the 'smallest' complex linear space containing $T_{a} M$ as real and $H_{a} M$ as complex linear subspace.
Now suppose as induction step that $M$ has constant degeneracy of order $k \geq 0$ and that $K_{a}^{k} M \subset K_{a}^{k-1} M$ is already defined as complex linear subspace. Then it can be shown [13] that there is a unique mapping

$$
\mathcal{L}_{a}^{k+1}: H_{a} M \times K_{a}^{k} M \rightarrow K_{a}^{k-1} M / K_{a}^{k} M
$$

satisfying

$$
\mathcal{L}_{a}^{k+1}\left(\xi_{a}, \eta_{a}\right)=[\xi, \eta]_{a}+J[\xi, J \eta]_{a} \quad \bmod \quad K_{a}^{k} M
$$

for all smooth sections $\xi$ and $\eta$ in $H M$ and $K^{k} M$ respectively, and the next kernel is defined by

$$
K_{a}^{k+1} M:=\left\{v \in K_{a}^{k} M: \mathcal{L}_{a}^{k+1}\left(H_{a} M, v\right)=0\right\}
$$

$\mathcal{L}_{a}^{k+1}$ is conjugate linear in the second and, as a consequence of the integrability condition (1.1), complex linear in the first variable. In particular, $K_{a}^{k+1} M$ is a complex linear subspace of $K_{a}^{k} M$. Also, $\mathcal{L}_{a}^{1}$ is (up to a non-zero constant factor) the usual Levi form at $a \in M$ and $K_{a}^{1} M=K_{a} M$.

We say that the CR-manifold $M$ has constant degeneracy if it has constant degeneracy of any order in the above sense. For instance, $M$ has this property, if for every pair of points $a, a^{\prime} \in M$ there exist open neighbourhoods $U, U^{\prime}$ of $a, a^{\prime}$ together with a CR-diffeomorphism $\varphi: U \rightarrow U^{\prime}$ satisfying $\varphi(a)=a^{\prime}$. In this note we are mainly interested in manifolds of this type.

A CR-manifold $M$ of constant degeneracy is called finitely nondegenerate if $K^{k} M=0$ for some $k$ and is called $k$-nondegenerate if $k \geq 1$ is minimal with respect to this property (for the definition of
$k$-nondegeneracy without the assumption of 'constant degeneracy' compare e.g. [1]). In case $M$ is finitely non-degenerate there cannot exist a domain $N \subset M$ that is CR-isomorphic to a direct product $N^{\prime} \times \mathbb{C}$ with a CR-manifold $N^{\prime}$. It is clear that Levi nondegenerate is the same as 1 -nondegenerate. In case $k \geq 2$ the minimal dimension for an everywhere $k$-nondegenerate CR-manifold is $2 k+1$. A well studied example of a homogeneous 2-nondegenerate CR-manifold in the minimal possible dimension 5 is the tube

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{M}:=\left\{z \in \mathbb{C}^{3}:\left(\operatorname{Re} z_{1}\right)^{2}+\left(\operatorname{Re} z_{2}\right)^{2}=\left(\operatorname{Re} z_{3}\right)^{2},\left(\operatorname{Re} z_{3}\right)>0\right\} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

over the future light cone in 3 -dimensional space time, compare [7], [9], [10], that will play a prominent role below.

The CR-manifold $M$ is called minimal if every smooth submanifold $N \subset M$ with $H_{a} M \subset T_{a} N$ for all $a \in N$ is open in $M$. In case $M$ is minimal in this sense there cannot exist a domain $N \subset M$ that is CRisomorphic to a direct product $N^{\prime} \times \mathbb{R}$ with a CR-submanifold $N^{\prime}$.

## 2. The analytic category

From now on we will only consider real-analytic CR-manifolds $(M, H M, J)$, that is, $M$ is a real-analytic manifold, $H M \subset T M$ is a realanalytic subbundle and also $J$ is real-analytic. Also these CR-manifolds form a category with respect to real-analytic CR-mappings. In particular, we now call two such manifolds $M$ and $M^{\prime}$ CR-equivalent if there exists a CR-diffeomorphism $M \rightarrow M^{\prime}$ that is real-analytic in both directions. It is well known that every (real-analytic) CR-manifold $M$ can be realized locally as a real-analytic CR-submanifold of some $\mathbb{C}^{n}$.
$\operatorname{By} \operatorname{Aut}(M)$ we denote the group of all (real-analytic) CR-automorphisms of $M$. In case Aut $(M)$ acts transitively on $M$ the CR-manifold $M$ is called homogeneous. Vector fields on $M$ are just the sections $\xi$ in $T M$ over $M$ - for every $a \in M$ we write $\xi_{a}$ instead of $\xi(a) \in T_{a} M$. A realanalytic vector field on $M$ is called an infinitesimal CR-transformation if the corresponding local flow consists of local CR-isomorphisms. Denote by $\mathfrak{h o l}(M)$ the space of all infinitesimal CR-transformations on $M$, which is a real Lie algebra with respect to the usual bracket. It is known, compare e.g. [1], that a vector field $\xi$ on $M$ is contained in $\mathfrak{h o l}(M)$ if and only if every point of $M$ has an open neighbourhood $N$ that can be realized as a real-analytic submanifold of a domain $U$ in some $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ in such a way that $\left.\xi\right|_{N}$ extends to a holomorphic vector field on $U$.

Our interest in the following is mainly in the local CR-structure at arbitrary points $a \in M$, that is, in the CR-manifold germs $(M, a)$. Denote by $\mathfrak{h o l}(M, a)$ the space of all germs of vector fields in $\mathfrak{h o l}(N)$, where $N \subset M$ runs through all open neighbourhoods of $a$ in $M$. Clearly,
also $\mathfrak{h o l}(M, a)$ is a real Lie algebra in an obvious way and there is a canonical embedding $\mathfrak{h o l}(M) \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{h o l}(M, a)$. In certain cases more can be said:
2.1 Proposition. Suppose that the $C R$-manifold $M$ is simply connected and that all Lie algebras $\mathfrak{h o l}(M, a), a \in M$, have the same finite dimension. Then for every $a \in M$ the canonical injection $\mathfrak{h o l}(M) \rightarrow$ $\mathfrak{h o l}(M, a)$ is an isomorphism of Lie algebras.
Proof. Denote by $\pi: \mathfrak{H} \rightarrow M$ the sheaf whose stalks $\pi^{-1}(a)$ are the Lie algebras $\mathfrak{h o l}(M, a)$. For every domain $N \subset M$ then $\mathfrak{h o l}(N)$ can be identified with the space of continuous sections over $N$ in $\mathfrak{H}$. Every $a \in$ $M$ has an open neighbourhood $N$ in $M$ such that $\mathfrak{h o l}(N) \rightarrow \mathfrak{h o l}(M, c)$ is an isomorphism for every $c \in N$. This implies that the connected components of $\mathfrak{H}$ are coverings of $M$ and hence are single sheeted since $M$ is simply connected.

The CR-manifold $M$ is called locally homogeneous if for every $a, a^{\prime} \in M$ there exist open neighbourhoods $N, N^{\prime}$ in $M$ together with a CR-isomorphism $N \rightarrow N^{\prime}$ sending $a$ to $a^{\prime}$. By [17] this is equivalent to the condition: To every $a \in M$ there exists a Lie subalgebra $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{h o l}(M, a)$ of finite dimension such that the canonical evaluation map $\mathfrak{g} \rightarrow T_{a} M, \xi \mapsto \xi_{a}$, is surjective. Every locally homogeneous CRmanifold $M$ has constant degeneracy in the sense of the preceding section. In particular, all complex subbundles $K^{k} M \subset T M, k \in \mathbb{N}$, are defined.

It is known that for every locally homogeneous CR-manifold $M$ the condition $\operatorname{dim} \mathfrak{h o l}(M, a)<\infty$ for some $a \in M$ (and hence for all $a \in M$ ) is equivalent to $M$ being finitely nondegenerate and minimal (as defined in the preceding section).

For homogeneous Levi nondegenerate manifolds large classes of examples are known: One of the best studied examples is for every $n \geq 2$ the euclidian hypersphere

$$
\begin{equation*}
S:=\left\{z \in \mathbb{C}^{n}:(z \mid z)=\sum z_{k} \bar{z}_{k}=1\right\} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

the boundary of the euclidian ball $B:=\left\{z \in \mathbb{C}^{n}:(z \mid z)<1\right\}$. Every $g \in \operatorname{Aut}(S)$ extends to a biholomorphic automorphism of the ball $B$ and thus gives a group isomorphism $\operatorname{Aut}(S) \cong \operatorname{Aut}(B) \cong \operatorname{PSU}(n, 1)$. In particular, $S$ is homogeneous (clearly, the subgroup $\mathrm{SU}(n) \subset \mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$ is already transitive on $S$ ). For every $a \in S$ the holomorphic tangent space $H_{a} S=a^{\perp}$ is the (complex) orthogonal complement of the vector $a$. In particular, $a \mapsto H_{a} S$, defines an 'anti-CR map' from $S$ to the Grassmannian of all complex hyperplanes in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$.

Further classes of examples can be found, for instance, in [12]. One of these is as follows: Fix arbitrary integers $p \geq q \geq 1$ and let
$E:=\mathbb{C}^{p \times q}$ be the space of all complex $p \times q$-matrices. Then the compact group $K:=\operatorname{SU}(p) \times \operatorname{SU}(q)$ acts on $E$ by $z \mapsto u z v^{*},(u, v) \in K$. For every $a \in E$ the orbit $M:=K(a)$ is a Levi nondegenerate minimal homogeneous CR-submanifold of $E$. In case $M^{\prime}=K^{\prime}\left(a^{\prime}\right)$ for $a^{\prime} \in \mathbb{C}^{p^{\prime} \times q^{\prime}}$ and $K^{\prime}:=\operatorname{SU}\left(p^{\prime}\right) \times \operatorname{SU}\left(q^{\prime}\right)$ with $p^{\prime} \geq q^{\prime} \geq 1$ is another orbit of this type, the manifolds $M$ and $M^{\prime}$ are locally CR-equivalent if and only if $p^{\prime}=p$, $q^{\prime}=q$ and one of the following alternatives holds.
(1) a invertible and hence $p=q: M^{\prime}=t M$ or $M^{\prime}=t M^{-1}$ for some $t \in \mathbb{C}^{*}$ and $M^{-1}:=\left\{z^{-1}: z \in M\right\} \subset \mathrm{GL}(p, \mathbb{C})$.
(2) $a$ not invertible: $M^{\prime}=t M$ for some $t>0$.

## 3. Tube manifolds

In the following let $E$ be a complex vector space of finite dimension. Fix a conjugation $z \mapsto \bar{z}$ on $E$ and denote by $V:=\{z \in E: z=\bar{z}\}$ the corresponding real form. Then $E=V \oplus i V$ and for every (connected immersed) real-analytic submanifold $F \subset V$ the corresponding tube $M:=F+i V$ is a CR-submanifold of $E$. Indeed, the abelian group $A$ of all translations $z \mapsto z+i v, v \in V$, satisfies $M=A(F)$ and for every $a \in F \subset M$ we have $H_{a} M=T_{a} F \oplus i T_{a} F$. More generally, since the conjugation leaves $M$ invariant, all kernels $K_{a}^{k} M$ (if defined) are also invariant under the conjugation and hence are of the form $K_{a}^{k} M=$ $K_{a}^{k} F \oplus i K_{a}^{k} F$ for $K_{a}^{k} F:=K_{a}^{k} M \cap T_{a} F$.

For a certain class of tube manifolds $M=F+i V$ a simple method for the actual computation of the kernels $K_{a}^{k} F$ has been given in [10]: Let $\mathfrak{A}$ be a linear space of affine mappings $\xi: V \rightarrow V$ such that
(i) $\xi_{x}:=\xi(x) \in T_{x} F$ for all $\xi \in \mathfrak{A}$ and all $x \in F$,
(ii) the mapping $\mathfrak{A} \rightarrow T_{a} F, \xi \mapsto \xi_{a}$, is a linear isomorphism.

Then the CR-submanifold $M=F+i V$ is locally homogeneous and the kernels $K_{a}^{k} F$ are recursively given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
K_{a}^{0} F & =T_{a} F \text { and } \\
K_{a}^{k+1} F & =\left\{v \in K_{a}^{k} F: \xi^{\operatorname{lin}}(v) \in K_{a}^{k} F \text { for all } \xi \in \mathfrak{A}\right\},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\xi^{\text {lin }}=\xi-\xi_{0}$ is the linear part of $\xi$.
Tubes form a very special class of CR-manifolds: Indeed, for every tube $M$ and every $a \in M$ the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{h o l}(M, a)$ must contain an abelian subalgebra of dimension
(*) $\quad n:=$ CR- $\operatorname{dim} M+$ CR-codim $M$.
As an example consider for fixed $p \geq q \geq 1$ and $a \in E:=\mathbb{C}^{p \times q}$ noninvertible the submanifold $M:=\{u a v: u \in \operatorname{SU}(p), v \in \operatorname{SU}(q)\}$ of $E$, that is, the case (2) at the end of Section 2. Then $M$ is homogeneous, Levi
nondegenerate, minimal and $n=r(p+q-r)$ for the number $n$ defined by the formula $(*)$, where $r$ is the rank of the matrix $a$ (compare [12] for details). Furthermore, $M$ is of hypersurface type if and only if $r=1$. In $M$ there exists a unique (rectangular) diagonal matrix $d$ with non-negative real diagonal entries $d_{11} \geq d_{22} \geq \cdots \geq d_{q q}$. In case $q>1$ and $d_{11} \neq d_{q q}$ the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{h o l}(M, a)$ is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{u}(p) \times \mathfrak{s u}(q)$ and hence does not contain any abelian Lie subalgebra of dimension $p+q$. Therefore, in this case the germ $(M, a)$ has no local tube realization. On the other hand, it can be shown that $(M, a)$ always has a tube realization if $r=1$. Notice that among these cases the sphere $S$ from (2.2) occurs for $p=n$, $q=1, d_{11}=1$ and that $S$ is locally CR-equivalent, for instance, to the tube with base

$$
F:=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}: \sum e^{2 x_{k}}=1\right\} .
$$

Indeed, the locally biholomorphic map $z \mapsto\left(e^{z_{1}}, e^{z_{2}}, \ldots, e^{z_{n}}\right)$ realizes $F+i \mathbb{R}^{n}$ as universal cover of $S \cap\left(\mathbb{C}^{*}\right)^{n}$.

In [4] all closed tube hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ have been classified up to affine equivalence that are locally CR-equivalent to the sphere (2.2). In [11] the same has been done for the pseudo-sphere

$$
\left\{z \in \mathbb{C}^{n}: \sum_{k<n} z_{k} \bar{z}_{k}=1+z_{n} \bar{z}_{n}\right\}
$$

of signature $(n-1,1)$. In both cases there is only a finite number of equivalence classes. These were obtained by solving a certain system of second order differential equations coming from [3]. In particular, this method does not extend to the Levi degenerate case nor to higher CRcodimensions.

## 4. Examples of 2- 3- 4-nondegenerate manifolds

In the following we discuss some of the examples presented in section 5 of [10]: For fixed integers $k \in\{2,3,4\}$ and $c \geq 1$ let $V \subset \mathbb{R}[u, v]$ be the subspace of all homogeneous polynomials of degree $m:=k+c-1$. We consider every $p \in V$ as polynomial function on $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ and also on $\mathbb{C}^{2}$ if convenient. By $G \subset \mathrm{GL}(V)$ we denote the subgroup of all transformations $p \mapsto \pm p \circ g$ with $g \in \mathrm{GL}(2, \mathbb{R})$. Then $G$ acts irreducibly on $V$ and, as a consequence, for every non-zero $G$-orbit $F$ in $V$ the corresponding tube manifold $M=F+i V$ is a minimal (not necessarily connected) CR-submanifold of $E:=V \oplus i V$. Note that $G$ always has two connected components and is isomorphic to $G L(2, \mathbb{R})$ if $m$ is odd. The connected identity component $G^{0}$ of $G$ consists of all transformations $p \mapsto t \cdot(p \circ g)$ with $t>0$ and $g \in \operatorname{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})$.

By definition, the function $p=p(u, v) \in V$ vanishes of order $\geq d \in$ $\mathbb{N}$ at $\left(u_{0}: v_{0}\right) \in \mathbb{P}_{1}(\mathbb{C})$ if all partial derivatives up to degree $d-1$ vanish
at $\left(u_{0}, v_{0}\right) \in \mathbb{C}^{2}$. Counted with multiplicities, every $p \neq 0$ has exactly $m$ zeroes. The group $\mathrm{GL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ acts by linear fractional transformations on $\mathbb{P}_{1}(\mathbb{C})$ with two orbits, $\mathbb{P}_{1}(\mathbb{R})$ and its complement. For every $g \in$ $\mathrm{GL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ the zeroes of $p$ and $\pm p \circ g$ differ by an application of $g$ on $\mathbb{P}_{1}(\mathbb{C})$.

Now consider the set $P$ of all $p \neq 0$ in $V$ with the following property: All zeroes of $p$ are in $\mathbb{P}_{1}(\mathbb{R})$, one of these has order $m-(k-2)$ while the remaining $(k-2)$ zeroes have order 1 . From the above it is clear that $P$ for our particular choices of $k$ is a $G$-orbit. Denote by $F$ the connected component of $P$ that contains the polynomial

$$
p:= \begin{cases}v^{m} & k=2 \\ u v^{m-1} & k=3 \\ \left(u^{2}-v^{2}\right) v^{m-2} & k=4 .\end{cases}
$$

Then the connected identity component $G^{0}$ of $G$ acts transitively on $F$. With the criterion in Section 3 it is easily seen that

$$
K_{p}^{r} F=\sum_{j=0}^{k-1-r} \mathbb{R} u^{j} v^{m-j} \text { for all } r \geq 0 .
$$

In particular, the tube manifold $M:=F+i V$ is a $k$-nondegenerate homogeneous CR-submanifold of $E=V \oplus i V$ with CR-dimension $k$ and CR-codimension $c$. For better distinction we also write $F^{k, c}:=F$ and $M^{k, c}:=M$ in the following.

The CR-manifolds $\mathcal{M}^{k, c}$ from Section 5 in [10] coincide with our $M^{k, c}$ for $k=2,3$. The 4 -nondegenerate homogeneous CR-submanifold $\mathcal{M}^{4, c}$ is the tube over the $G^{0}$-orbit $\mathcal{F}^{4, c}$ defined as follows: It is a connected component of the set $Q$ of all $q \neq 0$ in $V$ having a zero of order $(m-2)$ in $\mathbb{P}_{1}(\mathbb{R})$ and 2 zeros outside $\mathbb{P}_{1}(\mathbb{R})$. A polynomial in $Q$ is, for instance, $\left(u^{2}+v^{2}\right) v^{m-2}$.

Let us identify $\mathbb{R}^{m+1}$ and $V$ via

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(x_{0}, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}\right) \cong \sum_{j=0}^{m} x_{j}\binom{m}{j} u^{j} v^{m-j} . \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $F^{2, c}, F^{3, c}, F^{4, c}, \mathcal{F}^{4, c}$ are respectively the $G^{0}$-orbits of the points $(1,0, \ldots, 0),(0,1,0, \ldots, 0),(-1,0,1,0, \ldots, 0),(1,0,1,0, \ldots, 0)$.
Notice that $F^{2, c} \cup-F^{2, c}$ is the unique $G$-orbit that is closed in $V \backslash\{0\}$. It is also the unique 2-dimensional $G$-orbit in $V$ and consists of all $p \in V$ that are a power of a non-zero linear form on $V$. The $F^{2, c}$ will occur again in Section 5.

Denote for every $x=\left(x_{0}, \ldots, x_{m}\right)$ by $D(x)$ the resultant of the two polynomials $m^{-1} \partial f / \partial u$ and $m^{-1} \partial f / \partial v$, where $f \in V$ corresponds to $x$ by (4.1). Then $D$ is a homogeneous polynomial of degree $2 m-2$ in $x$ and $D(x)=0$ if and only if the corresponding polynomial $f$ has a multiple zero in $\mathbb{P}_{1}(\mathbb{C})$. In particular, every $F$ of the form $F^{k, c}$ or $\mathcal{F}^{4, c}$ is contained in the hypersurface $S:=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{m+1}: D(x)=0\right\}$. In case $c=1$ the orbit $F$ is open in the nonsingular part of $S$ and we have the following explicit formula for $D$ :
Case $k=2$ : Here $D(x)=x_{0} x_{2}-x_{1}^{2}$. As a consequence,

$$
F^{2,1}=\left\{\left(x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{3}: D(x)=0<x_{0}+x_{2}\right\}
$$

and thus $M^{2,1}$ coincides with the future light cone tube $\mathcal{M}$ in (1.3) up to a complex linear isomorphism.
Case $k=3$ : Here $D(x)=x_{0}^{2} x_{3}^{2}-3 x_{1}^{2} x_{2}^{2}-6 x_{0} x_{1} x_{2} x_{3}+4 x_{1}^{3} x_{3}+4 x_{0} x_{2}^{3}$ (the formula presented in Example 1.22 of [15] is not correct). Furthermore, $D(x)=0$ if and only if the orbit $G(x)$ has dimension $<4$, see [10]. Therefore $F^{3,1}$ consists of all $x \in \mathbb{R}^{4}$ for which the matrix

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & x_{1} & 2 x_{2} & 3 x_{3} \\
3 x_{1} & 2 x_{2} & x_{3} & 0 \\
0 & x_{0} & 2 x_{1} & 3 x_{2} \\
3 x_{0} & 2 x_{1} & x_{2} & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

has rank 3. In particular, $F^{3,1}$ is the nonsingular part of $S$.
Case $k=4$ : Here, compare [15] p. 29, $D(x)=g_{2}(x)^{3}-27 g_{3}(x)^{2}$ with

$$
\begin{aligned}
g_{2}(x) & :=x_{0} x_{4}-4 x_{1} x_{3}+3 x_{2}^{2} \text { and } \\
g_{3}(x) & :=x_{0} x_{2} x_{4}-x_{0} x_{3}^{2}-x_{1}^{2} x_{4}+2 x_{1} x_{2} x_{3}-x_{2}^{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

The polynomials $g_{2}, g_{3}$ are invariant under the action of the group $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ on $V=\mathbb{R}^{5}$ but not under $G^{0}$. This allows to describe the orbit structure of $G^{0}$ from the CR-viewpoint in more detail: For $0 \leq j \leq 4$ let $S^{[j]}$ be the union of all $G^{0}$-orbits in $S$ of dimension $j$, that is, the set of all $x \in S$ for which the matrix

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
0 & x_{1} & 2 x_{2} & 3 x_{3} & 4 x_{4} \\
4 x_{1} & 3 x_{2} & 2 x_{3} & x_{4} & 0 \\
0 & x_{0} & 2 x_{1} & 3 x_{2} & 4 x_{3} \\
4 x_{0} & 3 x_{1} & 2 x_{2} & x_{3} & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

has rank $j$ (for every $x \notin S$ the orbit $G^{0}(x)$ has dimension 4). A further decomposition of $S$ is given by the three $G^{0}$-invariant subsets

$$
S^{ \pm}:=\left\{x \in S: \pm g_{3}(x)>0\right\}, \quad S^{0}:=\left\{x \in S: g_{3}(x)=0\right\}
$$

satisfying $S^{-}=-S^{+}$. In a total, $S$ consists of $13 G^{0}$-orbits, more precisely:
(i) $S^{+} \backslash S^{[3]}=S^{+} \cap S^{[4]}=F^{4,1} \cup \mathcal{F}^{4,1}$
(ii) $S^{+} \cap S^{[3]}=G^{0}(0,0,1,0,0) \cup-G^{0}(1,0,2,0,1)$
(iii) $S^{0} \cap S^{[4]}=S^{0} \cap S^{[1]}=\emptyset$
(iv) $S^{0} \cap S^{[3]}=F^{3,2} \cup-F^{3,2}$
(v) $S^{0} \cap S^{[2]}=F^{2,3} \cup-F^{2,3}$
with 2-nondegenerate orbits in (ii). The nonsingular part of $S$ is

$$
\pm\left(F^{4,1} \cup \mathcal{F}^{4,1} \cup-G^{0}(1,0,2,0,1)\right)
$$

and $\overline{F^{4,1}} \cap \overline{\mathcal{F}^{4,1}}=G^{0}(0,0,1,0,0) \cup F^{2,3} \cup\{0\}$.
The case $k=3, c=1$ gives some affinely homogeneous tube domains in $\mathbb{C}^{4}$ : As before, $\left(x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)=x_{0} v^{3}+3 x_{1} u v^{2}+3 x_{2} u^{2} v+x_{3} u^{3}$ identifies $\mathbb{R}^{4}$ and $V$. The subgroup $G \subset \mathrm{GL}(V)=\mathrm{GL}(4, \mathbb{R})$ is isomorphic to $\mathrm{GL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ and has precisely two open orbits in $\mathbb{R}^{4}=V$, namely

$$
\Omega^{ \pm}:=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{4}: \pm D(x)>0\right\} .
$$

As subset of $V$ then $\Omega^{-}$consists of all polynomials $p \neq 0$ having three distinct zeroes in $\mathbb{P}_{1}(\mathbb{R})$ while $\Omega^{+}$consists of all $p \neq 0$ with two zeroes outside $\mathbb{P}_{1}(\mathbb{R})$. This shows that every $\Omega^{ \pm}$is connected and $\mathcal{D}^{ \pm}:=\Omega^{ \pm}+i \mathbb{R}^{4}$ is an affinely homogeneous tube domain in $\mathbb{C}^{4}$. From $\Omega^{ \pm}=-\Omega^{ \pm}$we see that the convex hull of $\Omega^{ \pm}$contains the origin and hence coincides with $\mathbb{R}^{4}$. This implies that every holomorphic function on $\mathcal{D}^{ \pm}$has a holomorphic extension to $\mathbb{C}^{4}$. In particular, every bounded holomorphic function on $\mathcal{D}^{ \pm}$is constant. Since $D(x)$ is invariant under the action of $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ on $V=\mathbb{R}^{4}$ the $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})$-orbits in $\Omega^{ \pm}$are the hypersurfaces $S^{\alpha}:=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{4}: D(x)=\alpha\right\}$ with $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^{ \pm}$. With the criterion in Section 3 it is easily seen that all $S^{\alpha}, \alpha \neq 0$, are Levi nondegenerate.

So far we do not have any example of a locally homogeneous CRmanifold that is $k$-nondegenerate with $k \geq 5$.

## 5. Conical manifolds of CR-dimension 2

In this section we discuss linear homogeneous cones $F$ of dimension 2 in a real vector space $V$ of dimension $n \geq 3$. For every such $F$ the corresponding tube $M:=F+i V$ is a Levi degenerate homogeneous CRsubmanifold of $E:=V \oplus i V$ with CR-dimension 2 and CR-codimension $(n-2)$. In case $F$ is contained in a hyperplane $W \subset V$ the manifold $M$ is CR-equivalent to the direct product $(F+i W) \times \mathbb{R}$. We therefore always
assume in the following that $F$ is not contained in any hyperplane of $V$. Then $M$ is automatically minimal and 2 -nondegenerate as CR-manifold, compare [10]. In particular, the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{h o l}(M, a)$ then has finite dimension for every $a \in M$.

In [10] all $M$ of the above type have been classified up to local CR-equivalence and all $\mathfrak{h o l}(M, a)$ have been explicitly determined. For a description we recall some elementary facts: $a \in V$ is called a cyclic vector of the endomorphism $\varphi \in \operatorname{End}(V)$ if the powers $\varphi^{k}(a), k \in \mathbb{N}$, span the vector space $V$. By $\operatorname{Cyc}(V) \subset \operatorname{End}(V)$ we denote the subset of all cyclic endomorphisms, that is, of all $\varphi$ having a cyclic vector. Every cyclic $\varphi$ is uniquely determined up to conjugation in $\mathrm{Cyc}(V)$ by the (unordered) sequence $\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}$ of all its characteristic roots in $\mathbb{C}$ (more precisely the roots of the characteristic polynomial of $\varphi$ with mulitplicities counted). We say that $\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}$ form an arithmetic progression if, after a suitable permutation, the differences $\left(\alpha_{k+1}-\alpha_{k}\right), 1 \leq k<n$, do not depend on $k$. In case $\varphi$ has trace 0 this is equivalent to $\varphi \in \rho(\mathfrak{s l}(2, \mathbb{R}))$ where $\rho: \mathfrak{s l}(2, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \operatorname{End}(V)$ is the (essentially) unique irreducible Lie algebra representation.

To every $\varphi \in \operatorname{Cyc}(V)$ we associate a linearly homogeneous surface $F=F^{\varphi}$ in $V$ as follows: For the abelian Lie subalgebra $\mathfrak{h}:=\mathfrak{h}^{\varphi}:=$ $\mathbb{R}$ id $\oplus \mathbb{R} \varphi \subset \operatorname{End}(V)$ consider the subgroup $H:=\exp (\mathfrak{h}) \subset \mathrm{GL}(V)$. Then $a \in V$ is cyclic for $\varphi$ if and only if the orbit $H(a)$ is not contained in a hyperplane of $V$ and any two cyclic orbits $H(a), H\left(a^{\prime}\right)$ differ by some $g \in \mathrm{GL}(V)$. Therefore, if we fix a cyclic vector $a \in V$ and put $F^{\varphi}:=H(a)$, the CR-structure on the tube $M^{\varphi}:=F^{\varphi}+i V$ does not depend on the choice of the cyclic vector $a \in V$. Also, this structure only depends on the characteristic roots of $\varphi$ counted with multiplicities.
The case where $\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}$ form an arithmetic progression: Then there exists a faithful irreducible representation $\rho: \mathfrak{g l}(2, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \operatorname{End}(V)$ with $\varphi \in \rho(\mathfrak{g l}(2, \mathbb{R}))$ such that every $\psi \in \rho(\mathfrak{g l}(2, \mathbb{R}))$, considered as vector field on $V$, is tangent to $F^{\varphi} \subset V$. This means that $F^{\varphi}$ is locally linearly equivalent to $F^{2, n-2}$ as considered in Section 4. It can be shown that for every $a \in M^{\varphi}$

$$
\mathfrak{h o l}\left(M^{\varphi}, a\right) \cong \begin{cases}\mathfrak{s o}(2,3) & n=3 \\ \mathfrak{g l}(2, \mathbb{R}) \ltimes_{\rho} V & \text { otherwise } .\end{cases}
$$

The case where $\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}$ do not form an arithmetic progression: Without loss of generality we may always assume for $M^{\varphi}$ that the cyclic endomorphism $\varphi$ is tracefree, that is $\alpha_{1}+\ldots+\alpha_{n}=0$. Then, if $\varphi^{\prime}$ is another tracefree cyclic endomorphism with eigenvalues $\alpha_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}^{\prime}$, the following conditions are equivalent: (compare Prop. 7.6 in [10])
(i) $M^{\varphi}$ and $M^{\varphi^{\prime}}$ are locally (globally) CR-equivalent.
(ii) $F^{\varphi}$ and $F^{\varphi^{\prime}}$ are locally (globally) linearly equivalent.
(iii) Modulo a suitable permutation of the indices in one of the eigenvalue strings, $\alpha_{k}^{\prime}=t \alpha_{k}$ holds for some $t \in \mathbb{R}^{*}$ and all $1 \leq k \leq n$.
(iv) $\mathfrak{h o l}\left(M^{\varphi}\right)$ and $\mathfrak{h o l}\left(M^{\varphi^{\prime}}\right)$ are isomorphic as Lie algebras.

Furthermore, $\mathfrak{h o l}\left(M^{\varphi}, a\right) \cong \mathfrak{h o l}\left(M^{\varphi}\right) \cong \mathfrak{h}^{\varphi} \ltimes V$ holds for all $a \in M^{\varphi}$.
Notice that conversely every linearly homogeneous connected cone $F \subset V$ not contained in a hyperplane of $V$ is locally linearly equivalent to some $M^{\varphi}$ with $\varphi \in \operatorname{Cyc}(V)$ tracefree.

## 6. A nonconical manifold of CR-dimension 2

As in Section 4 let $V \subset \mathbb{R}[u, v]$ be the subspace of all homogeneous polynomials of degree $m \geq 3$ and denote by $\Gamma \subset G L(2, \mathbb{R})$ the subgroup of all transformations $(u, v) \mapsto(r u, t u+v)$ with $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $r>0$. Then $\Gamma$ acts on $V$ by $p \mapsto p \circ g^{-1}$ and leaves the affine hyperplane

$$
W:=v^{m}+\sum_{k=1}^{m} \mathbb{R} v^{k} v^{m-k}
$$

invariant. Setting $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{m}\right)=v^{m}+\sum_{k=1}^{m} x_{k}\binom{m}{k} v^{k} v^{m-k}$ identifies $\mathbb{R}^{m}$ with $W$ and $\Gamma$ becomes a group $\Sigma$ of affine transformations on $\mathbb{R}^{m}$. The orbit

$$
C:=\Sigma(0)=\left\{\left(t, t^{2}, \ldots, t^{m}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{m}: t \in \mathbb{R}\right\}
$$

is the twisted m-ic. Its development $S:=\bigcup_{c \in C}\left(c+T_{c} C\right)$ is divided by $C$ into the two linearly equivalent orbits $\Sigma( \pm a)$, where $a:=(1,0, \ldots, 0)$. Then with $\gamma(t):=\left(t, t^{2}, \ldots, t^{m}\right)$

$$
F:=\Sigma(a)=\left\{\gamma(t)+r \gamma^{\prime}(t): t \in \mathbb{R}, r>0\right\}
$$

is an affinely homogeneous surface in $\mathbb{R}^{m}$ (compare [6], p. 45 for the special case $m=3$ ). The corresponding tube $M:=F+i \mathbb{R}^{m}$ is a homogeneous minimal 2-nondegenerate CR-manifold of CR-dimension 2 not locally CR-equivalent to any of the examples in the previous section. For every $a \in M$ the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{h o l}(M, a)$ has dimension $m+2$.

## 7. The classification in dimension 5

Our examples so far give the following affinely homogeneous 2nondegenerate tube manifolds $M:=F+i \mathbb{R}^{3} \subset \mathbb{C}^{3}$ of dimension 5 , where $r, t$ run over all real numbers with $r>0$ :
(i) $F=\left\{r(\cos t, \sin t, 1) \in \mathbb{R}^{3}\right\}$ the future light cone,
(ii) $F=\left\{r\left(\cos t, \sin t, e^{\omega t}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{3}\right\}$ with $\omega>0$ arbitrary,
(iii) $F=\left\{r\left(1, t, e^{t}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{3}\right\}$,
(iv) $F=\left\{r\left(1, e^{t}, e^{\theta t}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{3}\right\}$ with $\theta>2$ arbitrary,
(v) $F=\left\{\gamma(t)+r \gamma^{\prime}(t) \in \mathbb{R}^{3}\right\}$, where $\gamma(t):=\left(t, t^{2}, t^{3}\right)$ parameterizes the twisted cubic and $\gamma^{\prime}(t)=\left(1,2 t, 3 t^{2}\right)$.
In case (i) $M \cong \mathcal{M}$, see (1.3), and $\mathfrak{h o l}(M) \cong \mathfrak{h o l}(M, a) \cong \mathfrak{s o}(2,3)$ is semisimple with dimension 10 for all $a \in M$. In all other cases $M$ is simply connected and $\mathfrak{h o l}(M) \cong \mathfrak{h o l}(M, a)$ is a solvable Lie algebra of dimension 5.

In [6], compare also [5], all affinely homogeneous surfaces in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ have been classified up to local affine equivalence. Among the equivalence classes the surfaces $F$ in (i) - (v) represent precisely those classes that can be given in a neighbourhood $U$ of the origin in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ as

$$
\{(x, y, z) \in U: z=f(x, y)\}
$$

with $f$ a convergent power series of the form

$$
f(x, y)=x^{2}+x^{2} y+\text { higher order terms. }
$$

The surfaces $F$ above are pairwise locally affinely inequivalent and even give pairwise locally CR-inequivalent CR-manifolds (since the Lie algebras $\mathfrak{h o l}(M, a)$ are pairwise non-isomorphic). Note that this property is not evident a priori: In [11] two CR-equivalent affinely homogeneous tube manifolds in $\mathbb{C}^{3}$ are given that are locally affinely inequivalent. These examples are Levi nondegenerate and hence not 2-nondegenerate as in our situation. The main result of [10] now states:
7.1 Theorem. Every locally homogeneous 2-nondegenerate CR-manifold of dimension 5 is locally $C R$-equivalent to a tube $M=F+i \mathbb{R}^{3}$ with $F$ a unique surface from the list in (i) - (v) above.

Since every locally homogeneous Levi degenerate CR-manifold $M$ of dimension 5 is either 2-nondegenerate or locally of the form $M^{\prime} \times \mathbb{C}$, Cartan's classification [2] in dimension 3 together with Theorem 7.1 also gives a local classification of all locally homogeneous Levi degenerate CR-manifolds in dimension 5 . For a local classification of a certain class of Levi nondegenerate hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{C}^{3}$ compare [14].

The tube manifolds $M=F+i \mathbb{R}^{3}$ with $F$ from (i) - (v) are all non-closed hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{C}^{3}$ and we may ask: Do there exist closed hypersurfaces $N \subset \mathbb{C}^{3}$ that are locally homogeneous and 2-nondegenerate? At least tube submanifolds $N$ of this type cannot exist that are locally CR-equivalent to one of the manifolds $M=F+i \mathbb{R}^{3}$ with $F$ from (ii) (v). This follows from the fact that for those $M$ in $\mathfrak{h o l}(M, a)$ there is a unique abelian subalgebra of dimension 3 .
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